Temple University
Department of Journalism

Instructor M			
Course JPRASS	୦୦५ Semester	& Year Spring	2002

A student should administer this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be as honest and complete as possible. Instructor's will not see the course evaluations until after final grades are turned in.

1. Which topics within this course should have been covered in more detail?

MOST topics were covered equally

2. Which topics were covered in too much detail?

Topics covered equally

3. Which aspects of how this class was handled did you find most helpful and productive? Overhead notes to follow along with was very helpful; Being updated about class (very frequently) by e-mail was appreciated t very helpful. Also grateful for study guides! Love the grading system

4. Which characteristics of how this class was handled were not helpful or productive?

I liked how this class was handled, no complaints here.

5.	. How would you rate t	his çlass	on the follo	owing points?		
•	in same	Very Good	• •	Average	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
	Textbooks					
	Lectures/Discussions	$\sqrt{}$				
	Guests	•				
	Assignments			**********		
	Tests				•••	
					And the components says	
	Grading Procedure					
_	How affortive was the	 	·	11	-0	. •
٠.	How effective was the	•		r rowrud . both		2311
			Good Goo	<u>d Average</u>	<u>Fair</u> Poor	-
	Ability to communicat	te <u> </u>				
	Knowledge of subject		<b>-</b>			
	Preparation			/		
	Attitude toward stude	ints		/Williamps	59.500	
7.	Compared to other uni	versity in	etructore.	haw wanild van	rate this o	arenn?
•		•		now would you	rate onts p	EI <b>30</b> 11:
	Mell Above Average,	ge ••• (. •	in made	Latte of the same	246 W	for a second of
: , ,	Average	anorti.	ray yaran. Najir Zin	i va tellege et Bereiken l	Na Prince	Marana di Garana
	Above Average Average Below Average Well Below Avera	ge ' :	25 100 100		9: 11: 29 .00	
		•	136 X3 (\$)	april 1	. History	
8.	What general comments improve this course?	or specif	ic suggesti	ans do you ha	ve to help it	ne instructo
	n entre en Mêr		1 128	dia.	n n ny	
					$\{(r,n\},r_{r+1})$	
					:	•

COURSE	EVALUATION	

Temple	Univ	ers	ity	
Departo	ment	of	Journa	lism

Instructor MMTZer	
Course JPRA 55 Semester	& Year SONNS 2/172
Section (A4	-9

A student should administer this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be as honest and complete as possible. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until after final grades are turned in.

1. Which topics within this course should have been covered in more detail?
All topics were, Sorth MIS poor, CIVICO UMPUTULIONY.

2. Which topics were covered in too much detail?
HI HUPICS WURL COVERED OF A PURCH PACE.

3. Which aspects of how this class was handled did you find most helpful and productive? I liked that the noreswere posted in black board & that the siracles when based on a point system, so you could pick bunion as sign ments to complete. I was liked that she aftered extra-order t

4. Which characteristics of how this class was handled were not helpful or productive?

5.	How would you rate t	his class on	the followi	ng points?		
		<u>Very Good</u>	Good	Average	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>
	Textbooks					
	Lectures/Discussions					
	Guests					
	Assignments					
	Tests	<del></del>				
	Grading Procedure					

6.	How	effective	was	the	instructor	on	the	fo	llov	ing	points	?
								_	_	_		_

	<u>Very Good</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Ability to communicate					
Knowledge of subject					
Preparation	<u>-</u> - <u>Y</u> -				
Attitude toward students		<u> </u>			

7. Compared to other university instructors, how would you rate this person?

Well	Above	Average
Abov	e Aver	age
Avera	age	
Belo	w Aver	age
Well	Below	Average
	Abov Avera Belo	Well Above Above Avera Average Below Avera Well Below

8. What general comments or specific suggestions do you have to help the instructor improve this course?

Jynnyntyndd a wondir fu jub Jen!

Temple University Department of Journalism

Instructor	Jan	Mint Zec		
	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		ζ.	71707
Course U	MHDD Sea	mester & Yeam	- <u>- ) bi i w</u> d	<u> </u>

A student should administer this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be as honest and complete as possible. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until after final grades are turned in.

- 1. Which topics within this course should have been covered in more detail?

  Every thing was covered in Sufficent detail.
- 2. Which topics were covered in too much detail?

· Everything was covered in Sufficient detail

- 3. Which aspects of how this class was handled did you find most helpful and productive?

  The classroom comes very adaptable to a Intro to Media Class

  Just like this one. I like the fact that the instrutor could open velocites in class and explain her lecture.
- 4. Which characteristics of how this class was handled were not helpful or productive?

  Everything was handled productive.

5	Hau	would	VOL	rato	this	c) >cc	^-	the	following	:_+_
J.	HON	Monra	you	race	£1112	C1922	on	tue	LOTIOMING	points?

	Very Good	<u>Good</u>	Average	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Textbooks					
Lectures/Discussions	1		****		
Guests	<del>/-</del> /-				
Assignments	1//				
Tests	<del>-//-</del>				
Grading Procedure					

	Very/Good	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
Ability to communicate		·			
Knowledge of subject		~====			
Preparation					
Attitude toward students					

7.	Compared to other university	instructors,	how wo	ould you	rate	this	person?
	Above Average						
	Average						
	Below Average						
	Well Relow Average						

8. What general comments or specific suggestions do you have to help the instructor improve this course?

If any, I wall say .... Nothing sho's preofect.

Temple	Univ	ers	i ty	
Departo	nent	of	Journa	alism

Instructor Jan	Minzter	
Course in the	_Semester &	Year Spring 02

A student should administer this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be as honest and complete as possible. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until after final grades are turned in.

- 1. Which topics within this course should have been covered in more detail?

  More advertising things such as breaking in it down

  more, but of course I would say that ble its my major
- 2. Which topics were covered in too much detail?

I think things were overall covered in a well manner

- 3. Which aspects of how this class was handled did you find most helpful and productive?

  Posting the notes for the 2<sup>nd</sup> half of the semister + being on time of

  the work + grading of the ksts + papers. Atte the way that the

  grade point system on the internet worked was great.
- 4. Which characteristics of how this class was handled were not helpful or productive?

5. How would you rate this class on the following po	ints?
--	-------

•	• • •				
	<u>Very Good</u>	Ggod	<u>Average</u>	<u>Fair</u> .	<u>Poor</u>
Textbooks	•	<u>X.</u>			
Lectures/Discussions			<u>X</u> _		
Guests			<u>X</u> _	سام ہے ہے	
Assignments			<u>X</u>		
Tests			X		
Grading Procedure	<u>X</u> _				

	Very Good	Good	Average	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Ability to communicate		X			
Knowledge of subject		X			
Preparation		- <del>X</del> -			
Attitude toward students					

7. Compared to other university instructors, how would you rate this person?

	Well Above Average
$\times$	_Above Average
	_Average
	Below Average
	Well Below Average

8. What general comments or specific suggestions do you have to help the instructor improve this course?

NOKE

Temple	Univ	ers	ity	
Depart	ment	of	Journa	lism

Instructor	1,1=101	
Course Tlass	Semester & Year	7-11 6001
Med.	<b>-</b>	

A student should administer this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be as honest and complete as possible. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until after final grades are turned in.

1. Which topics within this course should have been covered in more detail?

tolong consist

2. Which topics were covered in too much detail?

DONE

3. Which aspects of how this class was handled did you find most helpful and productive?

-5400 guiles

4. Which characteristics of how this class was handled were <u>not</u> helpful or productive?

MA

5.	How	would	you rate	this	class	on	the	following	points?

	Very Good	Good	Average	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Textbooks					
Lectures/Discussions					
Guests					
Assignments					
Tests					
Grading Procedure					

	Very Good	<u>Good</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Ability to communicate					
Knowledge of subject					J
Preparation					
Attitude toward students					

7. Compared to other university instructors, how would you rate this person?

Well Above Average
Above Average
Average
Below Average
Well Below Average

8. What general comments or specific suggestions do you have to help the instructor improve this course?

AIN

Temple University
Department of Journalism

Instructor Jen	Mintzer
	Semester & Year
Mass necli	

A student should administer this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be as honest and complete as possible. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until after final grades are turned in.

1. Which topics within this course should have been covered in more detail? The topic of Public Relations

2. Which topics were covered in too much detail?

- 3. Which aspects of how this class was handled did you find most helpful and productive? I felt that Jen, did a great job in Keeping us informed t updated on information. She took alot of time in preparing lectures t helping us understand certain topics
- 4. Which characteristics of how this class was handled were <u>not</u> helpful or productive?

5. How would you rate this class on the following points?

	Very Good	<u>Good</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Fair</u>	Poor_
Textbooks	$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda}$				
Lectures/Discussions	X				
Guests	<u>X</u>				
Assignments	<u>'</u> \[\frac{1}{V}\]				
Tests	×				
Grading Procedure	<u>-X</u>				

6. How effective was the instructor on the following points?

	Very Good	<u>Good</u>	Average	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Ability to communicate	$\chi$				
Knowledge of subject	X				400 الله مستعيد على
Preparation	<u>X</u>				
Attitude toward students	X				

7. Compared to other university instructors, how would you rate this person?

X	_Well Above Average
	_Above Average
	_Average
	_Below Average
	Well Below Average

8. What general comments or specific suggestions do you have to help the instructor improve this course?

COURSE EV	AI I	UAT	ION
-----------	------	-----	-----

Temple University	Instructor Jen Mintzer
Department of Journalism	Introto Mass Course Media Semester & Year Fall 2001

A student should administer this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be as honest and complete as possible. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until after final grades are turned in.

1. Which topics within this course should have been covered in more detail?

I think everything was covered in good detail

2. Which topics were covered in too much detail?

3. Which aspects of how this class was handled did you find most helpful and productive?

I # liked watching vidlos related to the topics that we were discussing such as advertising

# I thought it was good when she brought books that she found relating to the chapters like photography.

Givest specifics

4. Which characteristics of how this class was handled were <u>not</u> helpful or productive?

5.	How	would	vou	rate	this	class	on	the	following	points?
•		~~~~	,		****			4116	LOTIONING	POINTS:

	<u>Very Good</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Textbooks					
Lectures/Discussions					
Guests				<b></b>	
Assignments	<u> </u>				
Tests		<u> </u>		~~~~	
Grading Procedure	<u> </u>				

	<u>Very Good</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Ability to communicate	_1/_				
Knowledge of subject					
Preparation					
Attitude toward students	<u> </u>				

7. Compared to other university instructors, how would you rate this person?

Well Above Averag	e
Above Average	
Average	
Below Average	
Well Below Averag	e

8. What general comments or specific suggestions do you have to help the instructor improve this course?

Temple University
Department of Journalism

Instructor Im Mintmel

Course (050 Semester & Year Fall 2001

A student should administer this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be as honest and complete as possible. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until after final grades are turned in.

1. Which topics within this course should have been covered in more detail?

Individual professions: advocusing

2. Which topics were covered in too much detail?

**FOOKS** 

3. Which aspects of how this class was handled did you find most helpful and productive?

guest Speakers, study guides, Blackboard

4. Which characteristics of how this class was handled were <u>not</u> helpful or productive?

	Textbooks							~	
	Lectures/Discussions								
	Guests								
	Assignments	<u> </u>	<del>-</del> -	•	<del></del>			**	
	Tests								
	Grading Procedure	77							
						_			
6.	How effective was the	e inst	ructor on th	e follo	wing point	s?			
			Very Good	<u>Good</u>	Average	Fair	Poor		
	Ability to communica	te							
	Knowledge of subject						<b></b>		
	Preparation			$\overline{}$					
	Attitude toward stude	ents	<u> </u>				<b></b> -		
7.	Compared to other un  Well Above Average Average Below Average Well Below Aver	age	ty instructo	rs, hov	w would you	rate th	nis per	son?	
8.	What general comment improve this course?	•	pecific sugg				elp the	instruc	tor

5. How would you rate this class on the following points?

<u>Very Good</u>

Good

<u>Average</u>

Fair Poor

Temple	Univ	ers	ity	
Departo	nent	of	Jour	nalism

Instructor Jen Mintzer
Course Coss Semester & Year

A student should administer this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be as honest and complete as possible. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until after final grades are turned in.

1. Which topics within this course should have been covered in more detail?

I think the Ethics section could have been discussed deeper - only because there is alot to cover.

2. Which topics were covered in too much detail?

I don't feel that too much time was contributed to any certain topic.

- 3. Which aspects of how this class was handled did you find most helpful and productive?

  I think that Blackboard was the wort helpful. Being able to be in ducit contact with the instructes as well go other students was very beneficial.
- 4. Which characteristics of how this class was handled were  $\underline{not}$  helpful or productive? NNL .

5.	Нож	would	you	rate	this	class	on	the	following	points?
----	-----	-------	-----	------	------	-------	----	-----	-----------	---------

	Very Good	<u>Good</u>	Average	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Textbooks	$\overline{\lambda}$				~
Lectures/Discussions		*			
Guests	<u>X</u>				
Assignments		X			
Tests			$\frac{\sim}{\times}$		
Grading Procedure		410 شند بين شيد شية	$\triangle$		

	Very Good	<u>Good</u>	Average	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Ability to communicate		<u>×</u>			
Knowledge of subject		<u> </u>			
Preparation		$\succeq$			
Attitude toward students	<u>X</u> _				

7. Compared to other university instructors, how would you rate this person?

Well Above Average
Above Average
Average
Below Average
Well Below Average

8. What general comments or specific suggestions do you have to help the instructor improve this course?

She did a very good job - stry with what shes doing now.

COURSE EVALUATION	•
Temple University	Instructor Jen Minzter
Department of Journalism	Course Intro to Semester & Year 1 2001 Mass Media
A student should administed as honest and complete as possible after final grades are turned in	er this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be ble. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until
1. Which topics within this cou Media Effects.	rse should have been covered in more detail?
2. Which topics were covered in NONL	too much detail?
	lass was handled did you find most helpful and productive?  Was understanding and
4. Which characteristics of how	this class was handled were <u>not</u> helpful or productive?

5.	How	would	you	rate	this	class	on	the	following	points?
----	-----	-------	-----	------	------	-------	----	-----	-----------	---------

	Very Good	<u>Good</u>	Average	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>
Textbooks		<u>X</u> _			
Lectures/Discussions		<u>-X</u>			<b></b>
Guests		_X_			
Assignments		<u>X</u>			
Tests		<u>-x</u> -			
Grading Procedure		<u>X</u> _			

	<u>Very Good</u>	Good	Average	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Ability to communicate	X				
Knowledge of subject		_X_			<b></b>
Preparation		X_			<b></b> -
Attitude toward students	<u>X</u>				

7. Compared to other university instructors, how would you rate this person?

	Well Above Average
X	_Above Average
	_Average
	_Below Average
	Well Below Average

8. What general comments or specific suggestions do you have to help the instructor improve this course?

Temple						
Depart	nent	of	Journa	1	isa	ì

Instructor JlM Mintzer	-
Course PIAS Semester & Year FOUL ()	_

A student should administer this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be as honest and complete as possible. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until after final grades are turned in.

1. Which topics within this course should have been covered in more detail?

PR

2. Which topics were covered in too much detail?

Nuspapur

3. Which aspects of how this class was handled did you find most helpful and productive?

The was instructed with yellowing.

helpful & flefible

4. Which characteristics of how this class was handled were <u>not</u> helpful or productive?

too early

5.	How would you rate t	his class or	n the f	ollowin	ng points?		
		Very Good	Good		Average	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
	Textbooks		<u>X</u>				
	Lectures/Discussions		<u>X</u>				
	Guests		<u>X</u>				
	Assignments		-y-				
	Tests		<u>A</u>			Χ	~~
	Grading Procedure			,	<u> </u>		
6.	How effective was th	e instructor	on the	e follo	wing point	s?	
		Very	Good	Good		<u>Fair</u>	Poor
	Ability to communica	te	-	X			
	Knowledge of subject		•	<u>X</u>	~~~~		
	Preparation		_	<u>X</u>			
	Attitude toward stud	ents	. ,	<u>X</u>			
7.	Compared to other un	iversity ins	structo	rs, hov	would you	rate th	nis person?
	Well Above Aver _XAbove AverageBelow AverageWell Below Aver	_					
8.	What general comment improve this course?		ic sugge	estions	s do you ha	ve to he	elp the instructor

Temple				
Departm	ient	of	Journa	lism

Instructor Jen Mintzer

Course JPRA 55 Semester & Year Fall 2001

A student should administer this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be as honest and complete as possible. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until after final grades are turned in.

- 1. Which topics within this course should have been covered in more detail?

  I feel that every Chp Which we covered

  Was covered adequately.
- 2. Which topics were covered in too much detail?

  Now that I can recall.
- 3. Which aspects of how this class was handled did you find most helpful and productive?

  I appreciated how a laid back enveronment

  was realled, with requirements.
- 4. Which characteristics of how this class was handled were <u>not</u> helpful or productive?

ma

5. H	HOW	would	you	rate	this	class	on	the	following	points?
------	-----	-------	-----	------	------	-------	----	-----	-----------	---------

	Very Good	<u>Good</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Textbooks					
Lectures/Discussions					
Guests				ww-m-	
Assignments		<del></del>			
Tests					
Grading Procedure	~~~				

	Very Good	Good	Average	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Ability to communicate					
Knowledge of subject	<u></u>				
Preparation					
Attitude toward students					<b></b>

7. Compared to other university instructors, how would you rate this person?

 Well	Above	Average
 _Abov	e Aver	age
 Avera	age	
 Belo	w Aver	age
 _Well	Below	Average

8. What general comments or specific suggestions do you have to help the instructor improve this course?

Temple University Department of Journalism Instructor <u>Jen Mintzer</u>

Course <u>Into Mess</u> Semester & Year <u>Fail 2001</u>

Media

A student should administer this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be as honest and complete as possible. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until after final grades are turned in.

- 1. Which topics within this course should have been covered in more detail?

  we'll it may pretty byterical. Grand later different median of also issues

  like ethics, controls, a effects.
- 2. Which topics were covered in too much detail?
- 3. Which aspects of how this class was handled did you find most helpful and productive?

  The availability of the teacher and especially the like of Blackboard.com.

  I liked having access to my gracks, assignments, and helpful links.
- 4. Which characteristics of how this class was handled were <u>not</u> helpful or productive?

5.	How would you rate t	his class o	n the f	ollowi	ng points?		
		Very Good	<u>Good</u>		<u>Average</u>	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
•	Textbooks	****	<u>/</u>				
	Lectures/Discussions						
	Guests		_1/	-			
	Assignments	T					
	Tests			•	<u> </u>		
	Grading Procedure	-1/					
6.	How effective was the	e instructo	r on th	e foll	owing points	<b>5?</b>	
		•	Good	<u>Good</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>
	Ability to communica	te 🗸	_				
	Knowledge of subject	<u> </u>	_				
	Preparation		_				
	Attitude toward stude	ents /	_	~	****		
2	Compared to other un	ivorcity is		es bo	w would vou	rate th	ie nerson?
<b>/</b> •	•	-	15 ti QC tu	// S, // (/	would you	146 01	ts person:
	Well Above Average Average Below Average Well Below Aver						
		-					
8.	What general comment improve this course?				s do you hav		

Temple University Department of Journalism

Instructor_ <u>Je</u>	o Min	12er			~
Course	_Semester	& Year	FAII	2001	

A student should administer this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be as honest and complete as possible. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until after final grades are turned in.

1. Which topics within this course should have been covered in more detail?

I believe all topics were covered in great detail.

2. Which topics were covered in too much detail?

They were all covered equally

3. Which aspects of how this class was handled did you find most helpful and productive?

The duily lectures were a very helpful thing. Jen was able to make things clear that were not picked up in the reading.

4. Which characteristics of how this class was handled were <u>not</u> helpful or productive?

Too many quiz grades might have hut me. Wish their was more writing assignments.

5. How would you rate this class on th	the tollowing	points!
--	---------------	---------

	<u>Very Good</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>
Textbooks					
Lectures/Discussions		<u> </u>			
Guests		<u></u>			
Assignments					
Tests					
Grading Procedure			<u> </u>		

	<u>Very Good</u>	<u>Good</u>	Average	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Ability to communicate					
Knowledge of subject					
Preparation	<u></u>				
Attitude toward students					

7. Compared to other university instructors, how would you rate this person?

Well Above Average
✓ Above Average
Average
Below Average
Well Below Average

8. What general comments or specific suggestions do you have to help the instructor improve this course?

sting the same

COURSE EVALUATION						
Temple University Department of Journalism	Instructor_ <u>Jen Minbzer</u>					
- · •	Course 10th to Semester & Year fall 2001. mass media.					
as honest and complete as possibl after final grades are turned in.	this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be e. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until					
1. Which topics within this cours	se should have been covered in more detail?					
2 Which topics were covered in t	too much detail?					
2. Which topics were covered in t	too much detail:					
•						
3. Which aspects of how this clar The class lectures and	ss was handled did you find most helpful and productive?					
	•					
4. Which characteristics of how	this class was handled were <u>not</u> helpful or productive?					

5.	How	would	you	rate	this	class	on	the	following	points?
----	-----	-------	-----	------	------	-------	----	-----	-----------	---------

	<u>Very Good</u>	<u>Good</u>	Average	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Textbooks		<u></u>		~~~~	
Lectures/Discussions					
Guests	<u> </u>				~~~~
Assignments		<u> </u>			*****
Tests					
Grading Procedure		<u> </u>			

	Very Good	<u> Good</u>	Average	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Ability to communicate	<u> </u>		~~		
Knowledge of subject	<u> </u>				
Preparation		~~~~			
Attitude toward students		<u> </u>			

7. Compared to other university instructors, how would you rate this person?

Well Above Average
Above Average
Average
Below Average
Well Below Average

8. What general comments or specific suggestions do you have to help the instructor improve this course?

Temple University Department of Journalism

Instruct	or JEN	M For	Mic	VD (2)	L		
	)FRASS					- 2wi	

A student should administer this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be as honest and complete as possible. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until after final grades are turned in.

1. Which topics within this course should have been covered in more detail?

ALL TIPICS LITTLE LIGHTLE TITOMICITY & EGUMLY.

2. Which topics were covered in too much detail?

THE Cause with S BIRTHUGO IN ITS PREJENTATION.

- 3. Which aspects of how this class was handled did you find most helpful and productive?

  THE INSTRUCTOR COINS COOK TO COMMENTS INVOLVENTS SETIMET

  THEREO A GRANT PER.
- 4. Which characteristics of how this class was handled were not helpful or productive?

5.	How	would	you rate	this	class	on	the	following	nointe?
----	-----	-------	----------	------	-------	----	-----	-----------	---------

	Very Good	<u>Good</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>
Textbooks	1			~~~~	
Lectures/Discussions					
Guests					
Assignments	- <del></del>				
Tests			3222	~	
Grading Procedure					

	Very Good	<u>Good</u>	Average	<u>Fair</u>	Poor
Ability to communicate					
Knowledge of subject	·V				
Preparation	1/				
Attitude toward students	· i				~-~~

7. Compared to other university instructors, how would you rate this person?

Well Above Average	•
Above Average	
Average	
Below Average	
Well Below Average	•

B. What general comments or specific suggestions do you have to help the instructor improve this course?

NA

COURSE EVALUATION  Temple University Department of Journalism	Instructor Jen Mintzer  Course Semester & Year Fall 2001  Media  55									
A student should administer this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be as honest and complete as possible. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until after final grades are turned in.										
I. Which topics within this co I would have detail on t	inse should have been covered in more detail?  Included a chapter in mode  NE MUSIC SICLETY/LECTA  notusity, history of it, etc.									

2. Which topics were covered in too much detail?

THE MOUNTEY ON WOOMS AND

NOUS PARS

3. Which aspects of how this class was handled did you find most helpful and productive?

THE QUIZZES HOW JON DOUNC UP

the excurs

4. Which characteristics of how this class was handled were not helpful or productive?

None

5.	How	would	vou rat	e this	class	on	the	following	points?
			,	_ ~	~		V: !=	I O I I OM I IIO	UU11165:

	Very Good	Good	<u>Average</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>
Textbooks					
Lectures/Discussions	٠ ،	<b></b>			
Guests		<i>,</i>			
Assignments					
Tests			·		
Grading Procedure					

	Very Good Go	<u>od Average</u>	Fair	Poor
Ability to communicate				
Knowledge of subject				
Preparation				
Attitude toward students				

7. Compared to other university instructors, how would you rate this person?

Well Above Average
Above Average
Average
Below Average
Well Below Average

8. What general comments or specific suggestions do you have to help the instructor

the phe class we it is, it is fine, especially the Ining wildrop the 2 lowest quiz grade's the absent rule.

COURSE EVALUATION	1
-------------------	---

COURSE EVALUATION  Temple University	Instructor Jen Mintzer
Department of Journalism	Course Intro to semester & Year Fall, 2001 Mass media
A student should administ as honest and complete as possi after final grades are turned i	er this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be ble. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until n.
1. Which topics within this course how to 9	urse should have been covered in more detail? To about getting internships in this
2. Which topics were covered in	too much detail? NONQ
3. Which aspects of how this control of the control	lass was handled did you find most helpful and productive? and understanding Instructor Mintzer

4. Which characteristics of how this class was handled were <u>not</u> helpful or productive? The page at which we had to play through the material

5.	How would you rate to	nis Class	on the f	ollowin	ng points?		
		Very Good	<u>Good</u>		Average	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>
	Textbooks		•				
	Lectures/Discussions						
	Guests						
	Assignments						
	Tests		***				
	Grading Procedure			•			
٨.	How effective was th	e instruc	tor on th	e foll	owina point	<b>5</b> ?	
٠.	now effective was viv		y Good	Good	Average	Fair	Poor
		<u></u>		<u> </u>	HVEI age	Tan	<u>1 001 </u>
	Ability to communica	te					
	Knowledge of subject	_1/.					
	Preparation	V					
	Attitude toward stud	ents					
7.	Compared to other un  Well Above Aver Above Average Average Below Average Well Below Aver	age					
8.	What general comment improve this course yes definitely	y Sor	ific sugg p it nethe	reg	s do you ha Deine	ya te Ya te	elp the instructor

Temple University Department of Journalism	Instructor IPN MM+Zer  Course TPRA55Semester & Year Fall 2001
	this evaluation. You should not sign this, but please be e. Instructors will not see the course evaluations until
1. Which topics within this course coverage of all been more in	topics could'ue  -apple
2. Which topics were covered in to	oo much detail?
lectures can	s was handled did you find most helpful and productive?  ne straight from the  ch coverage of textbook
marchat.	his class was handled were <u>not</u> helpful or productive?

	Very Good	Good	<u>Average</u>	<u>Fair</u>	Poor_
Textbooks	,	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Lectures/Discussion	s		X		****
Guests			$\times$		
Assignments			$\times$		
Tests					
Grading Procedure					
Attitude toward stu	idents		<u> </u>		
					_
7. Compared to other a		structors	, how would yo	u rate thi	s person?
Well Above Ave Above Average Average	erage				
Below Average	erage				
8. What general comment improve this cours	₽?				
in wa	as e	sely	acces	rsibl	e in in in in learning rese now
	0 1	12/2 -			